CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: August 30, 2021

WSR 21-18-093

TIME: 5:18 PM

## **PROPOSED RULE MAKING**



## CR-102 (October 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do **NOT** use for expedited rule making

Agency: Office of the Insurance Commissioner							
☑ Original Notice							
Supplemental Noti	ce to WSR						
□ Continuance of WSR							
Preproposal Stater	nent of Inq	uiry was filed as WSR <u>21-13-134</u>	_ ; or				
Expedited Rule Ma	kingProp	osed notice was filed as WSR	; or				
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or							
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW							
	identifying	j information: (describe subject)	Prohibiting the depreciation of labor on property				
claims							
		I	nsurance Commissioner Matter R 2021-04				
Hearing location(s):							
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:				
Wednesday	9:00am	Zoom Meeting: Detailed	Due to the COVID-19 public health emergency, this				
October 13, 2021		information for attending the	meeting will be held via Zoom platform				
		Zoom meeting posted on the OIC website here:					
		https://www.insurance.wa.gov/					
		prohibiting-depreciation-labor-					
		property-claims-r-2021-04					
Date of intended ado	otion: O	ctober 14, 2021 _ (Note: This is <b>NC</b>	T the <b>effective</b> date)				
Submit written comm	ents to:						
Name: David Forte							
Address: PO Box 4026	• •						
Email: rulescoordinator	·@oic.wa.go	V					
Fax: 360-586-3109							
Other:							
By (date) October 12	-						
Assistance for persor		abilities:					
Contact Melanie Watness							
Phone: 360-725-7013							
Fax: 360-586-2023							
TTY: 360-586-0241 Email: MelanieW@oic.wa.gov							
Other:	.wa.yov						
By (date)							
	sal and its	anticipated effects including an	changes in existing rules: When a homeowner has				
<b>Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules:</b> When a homeowner has property damage covered under their homeowner's insurance policy, the insurance company investigates the loss, valuates							
the damage, and then issues an Actual Cash Value (ACV) payment. The ACV payment is replacement cost less							
depreciation. After the repairs are fully completed, the insurance company releases the withheld depreciation to the insured							
to fulfil their obligation to cover the replacement cost as defined in the policy. Besides applying depreciation to the loss of							
value due wear and tear, deterioration, and obsolesce to physical and material items, some insurance companies are							

applying depreciation to the labor costs associated with the repair process. The Commissioner is considering rulemaking to prohibit the depreciation of labor in these situations.

**Reasons supporting proposal:** The practice of depreciating labor costs on insurance payments for property damage claims floats a significant part of the labor repair costs to the consumer and their repair contractor, unfairly shifting a burden to the consumer during the repair process and likely against the principle of indemnity. The Commissioner has seen a steady rise of policy forms that are writing this practice into their definition of Actual Cash Value. The Commissioner will consider rulemaking to prohibit the depreciation of labor on property claims.

## Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 48.02.060, 48.27.020, 48.18.120

## Statute being implemented: RCW 48.18.120

Is rule necessary because of a:	
Federal Law?	🗆 Yes 🛛 No
Federal Court Decision?	🗆 Yes 🛛 No
State Court Decision?	🗆 Yes 🛛 No
If ves. CITATION:	

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters: None

Name of proponent: (person or organization) Mike Kreidler, Insurance Commissioner			Private			
			Public			
			Governmental			
Name of agency	personnel responsible f	or:				
	Name	Office Location	Phone			
Drafting:	David Forte	PO Box 40260, Olympia, WA 98504-0260	360-725-7042			
Implementation:	Molly Nollette	PO Box 40260, Olympia, WA 98504-0260	360-725-7000			
Enforcement:	Charles Malone	PO Box 40260, Olympia, WA 98504-0260	360-725-7000			
Is a school distri	ct fiscal impact stateme	nt required under RCW 28A.305.135?	🗆 Yes 🖂 No			
If yes, insert state	•	•				
The public may obtain a copy of the school district fiscal impact statement by contacting:						
Name:	y obtain a copy of the sch	ou district riscar impact statement by contacting.				
Address	:					
Phone:						
Fax:						
TTY:						
Email:						
Other:						
Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?						
Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:						
Name: David Forte						
Address: PO Box 40260, Olympia, WA 98504-0260						
Phone: 3	360-725-7042					
Fax: 360	0-586-3109					

Regulatory Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a Small Business Economic Impact Statement:						
This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, <b>may be exempt</b> from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see chapter 19.85 RCW). Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):						
<ul> <li>This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply wit adopted.</li> <li>Citation and description:</li> <li>This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt defined by RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this proposal.</li> </ul>	or regul th, and c because	ations. Please cite the specific federal statute or describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not e the agency has completed the pilot rule process				
□ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under the provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was						
adopted by a referendum. This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:						
□ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)				
(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)				
$\square$ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)				
(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)				
□ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)				
(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process				
		requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)				
Explanation of exemptions, if necessary: RCW 19.85.025(4) not have to be completed if the agency is able to demonstrate RCW 19.85.020(3), a small business is defined as "any bus partnership, or other legal entity, that is owned and operated fewer employees.". Direct Property and Casualty Insurers employ on average 6,3 Therefore, there are estimated to be 74 employees per firm (known insurance companies that would be directly impacted examining the profiles of each of these companies, the OIC h company:	e that th siness e indeper 393 indiv 6,393 to by this r	the proposed rule does not affect small businesses. Under entity, including a sole proprietorship, corporation, indently from all other businesses, and that has fifty or viduals annually, and there are on average 87 firms. Individuals employed / 87 firms). There are four rule: State Farm, Allstate, Farmers, and Safeco. After				
State Farm: 57,500 employees						
Allstate: 45,780 employees Farmers: 12,740 employees						
Safeco: 7,200 employees						
This estimate is above the small business threshold as defined by RCW 19.85.020(3). The figures used for this calculation are drawn from the 2020 Washington State Employment Security Department's Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.						
COMPLETE THIS SECTION ONLY IF NO EXEMPTION APPLIES						
If the proposed rule is <b>not exempt</b> , does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?						
□ No Briefly summarize the agency's analysis showing how costs were calculated						
□ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert statement here:						

The public may obtain a copy of the sma contacting:	all business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by		
Name:			
Address:			
Phone:			
Fax:			
TTY:			
Email:			
Other:			
Date: August 30, 2021	Signature:		
Name: Mike Kreidler	Mile Kridle		
Title: Insurance Commissioner	011010- 73		

**WAC 284-20-010 Standard fire policies.** (1) This regulation is promulgated pursuant to RCW 48.18.120(1) to define and effect reasonable uniformity in all basic contracts of fire insurance.

(2) All policies which include coverage against loss or damage by fire are hereby defined to be basic contracts of fire insurance unless they come within the scope of insurance code provisions, or regulations adopted by the commissioner, providing that they may be regarded as marine, inland marine, vehicle, or casualty policies.

(3) Except for the provisions of the next succeeding three paragraphs, no company shall issue any basic contract of fire insurance covering property or interest therein in this state other than on the form known as the 1943 New York Standard Fire Insurance Policy, herein referred to as the "standard fire policy": Provided, however, <u>that</u> such form shall be modified to conform to RCW 48.18.290 with respect to the number of days' notice of cancellation required. In addition, such form shall be modified as necessary to conform to WAC 284-20-020 with respect to inception and expiration times. Such modifications may be by endorsement.

(a) Insurers issuing a standard fire policy pursuant to this regulation are hereby authorized to affix thereto or include therein a written statement that the policy does not cover loss or damage caused by nuclear reaction or nuclear radiation or radioactive contamination, all whether directly or indirectly resulting from an insured peril under said policy: Provided, however, <u>that</u> nothing herein contained shall be construed to prohibit the attachment to any such policy of an endorsement or endorsements specifically assuming coverage for loss or damage caused by nuclear reaction or nuclear radiation or radioactive contamination provided such assumption clause has been filed with and approved by the commissioner in accordance with RCW 48.18.100.

(b) The pages of the standard fire policy issued pursuant to this regulation may be renumbered and the format rearranged for convenience in the preparation of individual contracts, and to provide space for the listing of rates and premiums for coverages insured thereunder or under endorsement attached to or printed thereon, and such other data as may be conveniently included for duplication on daily reports for office records.

(c) As an alternative form, a form written in clear, understandable language, which provides terms, conditions and coverages not less favorable to the insured than the "standard fire policy," may be used. Such alternative form may be incorporated in or integrated within a form providing other or additional coverages, as, for example, a homeowners policy or a special multiperil policy. The intent of this subsection is to permit understandable plain language policies and package policies without diminishing any rights an insured would have under the 1943 New York Standard Fire Insurance Policy.

(d) By use of such alternative form, an insurer certifies that it is not less favorable to the insured than the "standard fire policy." If, in the adjustment of claims, any provision of the "standard fire policy" applicable to such claims is found to be more favorable to the insured than the alternative form used, then provisions of the "standard fire policy" shall govern.

(4) Except for the intrinsic labor costs that are included in the cost of manufactured materials or goods, the expense of labor necessary to repair, rebuild, or replace covered property is not a component

of physical depreciation and may not be subject to depreciation or betterment.